

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 06935 181651Z

66

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-05 NSCE-00 INR-05 CIAE-00

SP-02 PM-03 ACDA-10 L-01 SAJ-01 PRS-01 /051 W

----- 086080

R 181611Z DEC 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5270

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T USNATO 6935

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR NATO MNUC

SUBJCET: NATO NUCLEAR DEPLOYMENTS

REFS: A. USNATO 6857 DTG 130840Z DEC 75

B. USNATO 0510 DTG 301700Z JAN 75

1. AT CLOSE OF DECEMBER 17NAC SESSION, ACTING SYG PANSAL REFERRED TO FOREIGN MINISTERS DISCUSSIONS (REF A) ON NUMBER OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS DEPLOYED IN NATO. PANSAL SAID THAT IN ORDER TO AVOID MISUNDERSTANDINGS ON SUBJECT VITAL TO NATO SECURITY, HE WISHED TO REMIND THE COUNCIL THAT NATOS NUCLEAR WEAPONS ARE DEPLOYED FOR TWO DIFFERENT PURPOSES, BOTH OF WHICH REQUIRE ENTIRELY DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF WEAPONS. PANSAL REFERRED TO PO/75/5 ( REF B) IN WHICH SYG LUNS, IN COMMENTING ON THE NUNN NUCLEAR REPORT, NOTED THAT NATO STUDIES- AS FAR AS THEY HAD GONE- HAD INDICATED THAT THE NUMBER OF WEAPONS REQUIRED FOR USE IN SELECTIVE RELEASE WAS LESS THAN THE NUMBER OF WEAPONS DEPLOYED, WHILE SACEURS ESTIMATE OF WEAPONS REQUIREMENTS FOR GENERAL WAR WERE IN EXCESS OF THE NUMBERS CURRENTLY DEPLOYED.

2. PANSAL REITERATED THE IMPORTANT DISTINCTION BETWEEN WEAPONS REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TWO DIFFERENT PURPOSES AND SUGGESTED THAT NATIONAL AUTHORITIES BE REMINDED OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS.

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 06935 181651Z

3. PANSAL ALSO NOTED THAT SACEUR HAD THE ALLIANCES REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC NUCLEAR DEPLOYMENTS UNDER CONTINUING REVIEW. BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 18 DEC 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ShawDG  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO06935  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751290/abbrznmz.tel  
**Line Count:** 56  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** LIMDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** LIMDIS  
**Reference:** A. USNATO 6857 DTG 130840Z DEC 75 B. USNATO 0510 DTG 301700Z JAN 75  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ShawDG  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 22 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <22 APR 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <24 DEC 2003 by ShawDG>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NATO NUCLEAR DEPLOYMENTS  
**TAGS:** PFOR NATO MNUC  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO USNMR SHAPE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006